

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/767,522	ROE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Emily M. Lloyd	3736	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Emily M. Lloyd.

 (2) Charles Schmal.
**Status of Application:** Allowable

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 January 2008
**Time:** 12:30 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

32 and 49

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussion regarding the amendment of claim 32 regarding a 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection, an objection to claim 49, and clarification of some specification changes.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)